

ILLINOIS
CRIMINAL JUSTICE
INFORMATION
AUTHORITY



300 West Adams Street, Suite 700
Chicago, Illinois 60606
Phone: (312) 793-8550
Fax: (312) 793-8422
TDD: (312) 793-4170
<http://www.icjia.state.il.us>

Budget Committee

Eugene E. Murphy, Jr.
Chair

Hon. Anita Alvarez
Vice Chair

David P. Bradford

Bradley G. Demuzio

Barbara L. Engel

Becky Jansen

Hon. Lisa Madigan

William Mudge

Todd H. Stroger

**Illinois Criminal Justice
Information Authority**

Sheldon Sorosky
Chair

Hon. Anita Alvarez
Vice Chair

Jack Cutrone
Acting Executive Director

Meeting Notice

Budget Committee

Monday, August 3 – 10:30 a.m.
Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority
300 West Adams
8th Floor Conference Room (Suite 830)
Chicago, IL 60606

Agenda

► Call to Order and Roll Call

1. Approval of the Minutes of the June 5, 2009 Authority Regular Meeting / Budget Agenda
2. Approval of the Minutes of the June 30, 2009 Budget Committee Meeting
3. Juvenile Accountability Block Grants (JABG) Plan Adjustments
FFY05 Plan Adjustment #6
FFY06 Plan Adjustment #4
FFY07 Plan Adjustment #3
4. FFY07 Justice Assistance Grants (JAG) Plan Adjustment

► Old Business

► New Business

► Adjourn

This meeting will be accessible to persons with disabilities in compliance with Executive Order #5 and pertinent State and Federal laws upon anticipated attendance. Persons with disabilities planning to attend and needing special accommodations should contact by telephone or letter Mr. Hank Anthony, Associate Director, Office of Administrative Services, Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority, 300 West Adams Street, 7th Floor, Chicago, Illinois 60606 (telephone 312/793-8550). TDD services are available at 312-793-4170.



**ILLINOIS
CRIMINAL JUSTICE
INFORMATION AUTHORITY**

300 W. Adams Street • Suite 700 • Chicago, Illinois 60606 • (312) 793-8550

MINUTES

**Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority
Regular Meeting / Budget Agenda**

Friday, June 5, 2009

9:00 a.m.

Michael A. Bilandic Building

160 N. LaSalle Street, Conference Room #N-505

Chicago, Illinois

Call to Order and Roll Call

The Board of the Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority met on Friday, June 5, 2009, in Conference Room N-505 at the Michael A. Bilandic Building, 160 N. La Salle Street, Chicago, Illinois. Authority Chairman Sheldon Sorosky called the meeting to order at 9:15 a.m. Authority General Counsel Jack Cutrone called the roll. Other Authority members and designees present were State's Attorney Anita Alvarez, Chief David P. Bradford, Clerk Dorothy Brown, Sheriff Thomas Dart, Barbara Engel, Cynthia Hora (for Attorney General Lisa Madigan), Clerk Becky Jansen, State's Attorney William Mudge, Eugene E. Murphy, Jr., Director Michael J. Pelletier, Mariyana Spyropoulos, and John Z. Toscas. Also in attendance were Executive Director Lori G. Levin, Associate Director John Chojnacki, and other Authority staff members.

Chairman Sorosky, in accordance with the Authority's rules and after a vote to approve the minutes of the March 6, 2009 Authority Regular meeting, declared the meeting a Budget Committee meeting at 9:20 a.m., operating as a committee of the budget as a whole. He appointed to the Budget Committee all Authority members present for the limited purpose of addressing the budget-related agenda items.

Budget Committee Chairman's Comments

Budget Committee Chairman Murphy congratulated the Authority and all of the members of the Authority board in what they have been doing in dealing with both federal and state governments in terms of getting the stimulus (American Recovery and Reinvestment Act / ARRA) money out the door. He said that the ideas were wonderful and that he thought they were taken in the spirit intended.

Chairman Murphy said that the system is still in a state of flux. He said that in the Authority's efforts to get as much money, when it is available, out the door, a brief Budget Committee meeting will be convened today to approve the dissemination of funds because the faster the funds go out the door, the faster the funds can be put to their intended use of employment in the service of goals that were set earlier.

Minutes of the May 4, 2009 Budget Committee Meeting

Chief Bradford moved to approve the minutes of the May 4, 2009 Budget Committee meeting. Sheriff Dart seconded the motion and it passed by unanimous voice vote.

Juvenile Accountability Block Grants (JABG)

Returned Funds

Program Supervisor Mike Carter, referring to the memo at Tab 2 of the meeting materials regarding the proposed FFY04 through FFY08 JABG plan adjustments, said that \$24,445 in FFY04 funds had been returned to the Authority and that those funds would be lapsed back to the federal government. He said that \$47,028 in FFY05 funds was returned. He said that \$62,882 was available in FFY06 lapsed funds.

Recommended Designations

Mr. Carter said that staff recommended designating a total of \$21,096 to the City of Evanston for its community service and restorative justice programs. He said that staff recommended designating a total of \$260,514 to the Illinois Department of Juvenile Justice (IDJJ) to support its parole readjustment program. He said that staff recommended designating a total of \$144,220 to the Illinois Violence Prevention Authority (IVPA) for its Safety Net Works (SNW) program. He said that staff recommended setting aside \$144,750 in FFY06 lapsing and unallocated local-use funds to assist the state's county probation departments in developing and implementing a jobs readiness and supervised paid internship program. He said that this program would be made available through a request for proposals (RFP) which would be released on the following Monday (June 8, 2009).

Mr. Carter said that the Authority recently received \$1.5 million in its FFY08 federal award and these funds would expire on May 31, 2011. He said that five percent of this award would be set aside for administrative purposes, leaving \$1.4 million for programming. He said that 75 percent of this amount must be made available for pass-through to units of local government.

Mr. Carter said that \$21,958 remains in FFY05 funding. He said that staff had planned to put these funds toward Balanced and Restorative Justice (BARJ) training. He said that FFY06 has been zeroed out. He said that FFY07 would have \$350,000 remaining, assuming approval of the designations recommended in the memo.

Mr. Carter, in response to a question by Ms. Engel, said that the \$21,958 has not been formally recommended for designation, but staff was in the planning stages of putting it to use for BARJ training.

Chief Bradford moved to approve the FFY04 through FFY08 JABG plan adjustments. Ms. Alvarez seconded the motion and it passed by unanimous voice vote.

Justice Assistance Grants (JAG)

Executive Director's Remarks

Director Levin said that, as discussed at the last Budget Committee meeting (on May 4, 2009), the Authority, the secretary of the Illinois Department of Human Services (IDHS), representatives of the Illinois Department of Corrections (IDOC), and the director of the IDJJ met with the Governor's Office to discuss JAG state-use funds. She said that the Authority had received its American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) JAG award and it was in the amount of \$50,198,081.

Director Levin said that the only things that staff is requesting designations for at this meeting are essentially programs that need the funds before the end of the state fiscal year so that the programs can be maintained. She said that one of the programs is being tweaked, but basically the goal is to maintain programs that are already being funded, but were either reduced or cut out of the state budget.

Director Levin said that the Governor's office was represented in these conversations. She said that the Governor's chief of staff had been briefed regarding these designations. She said that this is really a request, not only of staff, but from the Governor's office.

Recommended Designations

Mr. Carter, referring to the memo under Tab 3 of the meeting materials regarding JAG FFY05 and FFY06 plan adjustments and the ARRA plan introduction, said that staff recommended that IDHS receive \$4.2 million. He said that of the \$4.2 million: \$1.2 million would go towards comprehensive community-based youth services; \$1 million would go towards the SNW program; \$685,000 would go towards community services,

\$581,000 would go towards the Illinois Coalition Against Sexual Assault (ICASA); \$294,200 would go towards juvenile justice reform / communities for youth; \$240,300 would go to unified delinquency intervention services; \$123,100 would go to delinquency prevention programs; and \$58,800 would go to juvenile intervention.

Mr. Carter said that the IDOC had requested \$2.8 million for a day reporting program.

Mr. Carter said that the IDJJ had requested \$566,000; \$350,000 would go towards a juvenile transition center in St. Clair County; \$216,100 would go to the Illinois Youth Center / St. Charles for its residential substance abuse treatment center; and \$500,000 would go to Cease Fire via the IVPA.

Director Levin said that the Authority is still planning on recommending about \$5 million for each of the three agencies. She said that IDHS is requesting approximately \$4.25 million and IDOC is requesting approximately \$2.8 million. She said that there may be a little over \$7 million in total designations. She said that her estimates were very cursory and that IDOC and IDJJ had other requests with respect to ARRA JAG funds, but they were for youth programs. She said that staff was concerned with dealing with state budget cuts at this time. She said that this way, these programs would not need to be cut and subsequently add to the state's unemployment problem.

Director Levin said that staff would be planning to accommodate future IDOC and IDJJ requests, or anything put forth by the Governor's Office. She said that the designations made at this meeting would primarily be to plug budget holes.

Director Levin, in response to a question by Ms. Hora, said that about \$4.5 million of the ARRA JAG funds would go toward administrative purposes. She said that about \$4.4 million was going to the Metropolitan Enforcement Group and Task Forces. She said that the minutes of the previous Budget Committee meeting indicate that there was a designation for rough estimates regarding how the Authority was going to deal with units of local government. She said that staff had been working on some sort of applications that would be released in the near future. She said that at the last Budget Committee meeting it was determined that funds would go toward equipment purchases, but staff wanted to do that only once programming options had been considered.

Mr. Carter, in response to a comment by Ms. Hora, said that it would be easier not to try to think about the \$42 million in available funds as a whole, but to break it down into what is available at the local and state levels. He said that after making the recommended designations, about \$7 million would remain in state-use funds and about \$25 million would remain in local-use funds.

Mr. Carter said that at the March 6, 2009 Budget Committee meeting the Budget Committee approved a designation of \$25,000 in FFY05 funds to ICASA for its crime victim and witness programs. He said that staff recommended that this designation be rescinded from the FFY05 funds and redesignated using FFY06 funds. The program would use these funds to purchase clothing for sexual assault victims, translated materials, and starter kits.

Mr. Mudge moved to approve the JAG FFY05 and FFY06 plan adjustments and the JAG ARRA plan introduction. Ms. Engel seconded the motion. All Authority board members present voted to approve the motion with the exception of Mr. Toscas, who voted against the motion.

Ms. Engel said that she wanted to reiterate that after Budget Committee was presented with the breakdown of how ARRA money could be allocated and the percentages had been determined, the Budget Committee had decided that these funds were flexible.

Director Levin said that there would be guidelines with some flexibility. She said that the reason why a lot of ARRA resources were focused on law enforcement was that law enforcement was not getting any of the state's dollars. She said that in many states, JAG funds are used exclusively for law enforcement. She said that the Authority was trying to strike a delicate balance. She said that programming would take priority and the equipment RFPs would be dealt with last, but that was not to say that there would be no equipment RFPs.

Ms. Engel suggested giving priority or weight to saving jobs, which is essential.

Chairman Murphy said that if anyone is under any other ideas, please get rid of those ideas because right now this is about maintaining and creating jobs; this is about people working.

Director Levin, in response to a question by Ms. Engel, said that the applications would be available soon and that they are currently being vetted by executive staff. She said that Mr. Carter is drafting them now. She said that she hoped that the applications would be done within the next few weeks.

Violence Against Women Act (VAWA)

Mr. Reichgelt, referring to the memo under Tab 4 of the meeting materials regarding VAWA FFY06, FFY07, and FFY08 plan adjustments, said that in 2001 the Victim Services Ad Hoc committee (VSAHC) recommended, and the Authority board approved, the establishment of funding for multi-disciplinary teams (MDTs) in Illinois. He said that

the Authority now funds four MDTs; three for domestic violence and one for sexual assault. He said that, initially, funding for these programs was set for a three-year period and pursuant to VSAHC and Authority board approval, the funding period was extended to six years. He said that the MDTs are now entering their sixth and final year of funding.

Recommended Designations

Mr. Reichgelt said that the recommendations for MDTs presented in the memo are for the St. Clair County MDT, which consists of four partners: the St. Clair County Probation Department; the St. Clair County State's Attorney's Office; the St. Clair County Sheriff's Department; and the Violence Prevention Center of Southwest Illinois. He said that these funds would provide money for Project Renee, which is an off-site one-stop victim service agency for victims of domestic violence in St. Clair County.

Mr. Reichgelt said that staff recommended a designation to Safe Passage to allow it to continue its transitional housing program for another 12 months. He noted that VAWA transitional housing programs actually get two grants; they get a VAWA grant which provides funding for rent and they also receive a Victims of Crime Act (VOCA) grant to pay for services. He said that the VOCA grant would be designated at a later date, but staff wanted to ensure that the actual housing didn't experience any breaks in continuity.

Mr. Toscas moved to approve the VAWA FFY06, FFY07, and FFY08 plan adjustments. Ms. Engel seconded the motion and it was approved by unanimous voice vote.

Further Discussion

Chairman Murphy said that the Budget Committee would continue to meet frequently because the landscape is constantly changing, in relation to both Springfield and Washington, D.C., and the committee needs to be constantly prepared. He thanked the board members for their participation in Budget Committee meetings and encouraged them to participate by teleconference if they cannot attend meetings in person.

Mr. Reichgelt, in response to a question by Ms. Engel, said that in FFY05, VAWA has another year; those funds will not expire until September 30, 2010. He said that the Authority would use the older funds in conjunction with the newer funds to provide funding for all of the Authority's programs. He said that he didn't want to bring it up at this meeting since he was trying to keep his presentation brief, but he reminded the board that VAWA programs across the board recently received significant funding reductions. He said that, at that time, staff had requested a 20 percent cut and then permission to cut another 10 percent, for a maximum of 30 percent, if necessary. He said it would not be

necessary to go forward with the extra 10 percent. He said that with the FFY09 award, staff expects enough money to fund all VAWA programs for one more year.

Adjourn

At this point, 9:45 a.m., Authority Chairman Sorosky declared the conclusion of the Budget Committee portion of the meeting. The Authority Regular meeting resumed discussion of other items on its agenda.



**ILLINOIS
CRIMINAL JUSTICE
INFORMATION AUTHORITY**

300 W. Adams Street • Suite 700 • Chicago, Illinois 60606 • (312) 793-8550

MINUTES

**Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority
Budget Committee Meeting**

Tuesday, June 30, 2009

1:00 p.m.

Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority
300 West Adams Street, Eighth Floor Conference Room
Chicago, Illinois

Call to Order and Roll Call

The Budget Committee of the Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority met on Tuesday, June 30, 2009, in the Eighth Floor Conference Room at 300 West Adams Street, Chicago, Illinois. Budget Committee Chairman Eugene E. Murphy, Jr. called the meeting to order at 1:10 p.m. Other Authority members and designees present were Bridget Healy Ryan for State's Attorney Anita Alvarez, Kevin Murphy for Clerk Dorothy Brown, Elaine Romas for Sheriff Tom Dart, Director Patrick Delfino (via teleconference), Barbara Engel, Cynthia Hora for Attorney General Madigan, Colonel Michael Snyders for Director Jonathan E. Monken (via teleconference), Authority Chairman Sheldon Sorosky, Tony Small for Director Roger Walker (via teleconference), and James Hickey for Superintendent Jody Weis. Also in attendance were Rick Krause of the Illinois Department of Corrections, Authority Acting Executive Director Jack Cutrone, Authority Associate Director John Chojnacki, Authority Acting General Counsel Steve Bernstein, and other Authority staff members. Only members and designees physically present at the meeting were permitted to vote.

Justice Assistance Grants (JAG)

Returned Funds

Program Supervisor Mike Carter, referring to the memo at Tab 1 of the meeting materials regarding the FFY05, FFY06, and FFY07 JAG Plan Adjustments and the FFY08 Plan Introduction, said that a total of \$48,212 in FFY05 funds has been returned and \$1,923 in interest has been earned for a combined available total of \$50,135. FFY05 funds expire on September 30, 2009. As approved at a previous Budget Committee meeting, these lapsing funds and interest would be added to the existing set-aside for a local law

enforcement equipment request for proposals (RFP). He said that a total of \$13,077 has been returned in FFY07 funds and these funds would be made available for future programming. FFY07 funds expire on September 30, 2010.

New Designations

The Illinois State Police (ISP) was awarded \$140,500 for its Crimes Against Seniors Program (CASP) at the February 11, 2009 Budget Committee meeting. This designation represented a reduction of \$30,000 from its original funding level as a result of CASP having lapsed \$60,000 in two consecutive years. The reason for those lapses was an inability to get the hiring of an investigator approved by Central Management Services (CMS). CMS recently finalized the hiring of the CASP investigator and the unit is now working at full staff. Staff recommends immediately restoring the current federal fiscal year 2006 designation by \$30,000 to its original amount of \$170,500 to pay for newly hired staff.

Ms. Hora said that it looks as if there were a few months that the position was vacant and she wanted to be sure that the \$30,000 would be for a full 12-month period and that the funds would be used throughout that period as opposed to only part of a year.

Mr. Carter said that the ISP would be able to spend those funds on personnel and equipment purchases.

Col. Snyders said that the ISP would not have requested the restoration of the \$30,000 if they didn't think they would spend it. He said that he expected the ISP to spend every dime of this designation.

Mr. Carter said that the Illinois Department of Juvenile Justice's (IDJJ) Re-entry Program attempts to provide various services for juvenile re-entry and reestablishing ties to the community. The program is currently designated \$510,000 in FFY07 funds. Last year it was designated \$550,000 from which \$314,000 was returned unspent. With only a few months remaining in this program's current designation, IDJJ has reported only \$55,392 as being spent. He said that based on these facts, staff recommends reducing this program's future designation by 10 percent for a total \$459,000, using FFY07 funds.

Rick Krause, representing the Illinois Department of Corrections IDOC and the Illinois Department of Juvenile Justice (IDJJ) said that the fiscal report shows the funds paid during the quarter, but that doesn't indicate future obligations. He said that there are other funds that have been obligated that will be paid in the current quarter; meaning that the fiscal report is a quarter behind.

Mr. Carter said that staff had accounted for such a scenario in this case.

Mr. Krause said that the amount reported as paid from the fiscal report is similar for both the criminal and the adult women's programs. The juvenile program was cut by 10 percent; however, the women's program was cut by 50 percent. He said that it seems disproportionate. He suggested that the IDOC reserve the right to move some of the funding from the juvenile program back to the women's program, which he believed would be underfunded for the next fiscal year.

Mr. Carter said that the reason for the recommendations was that given the past two years of spending and the spending pattern of that spending there's no way that this money could be spent moving forward. He said that even accounting for the fact that the reporting is a quarter behind, the IDOC's previous fund expenditure history doesn't suggest that this money could be spent over the next two and half quarters.

Mr. Krause said that the programs' histories take them from being funded under the Anti-Drug Abuse Act for four years, and then they received Violent Offender Incarceration / Truth In Sentencing Act funds that did not allow for expenditure on contractual staff. He said that there was no coordinator for the program for an entire year up to the point and that it was refunded with JAG money which did allow for coordinator staff and an on-site person who would supervise the program and vendor staff on-site every day to supervise the spending of the funds. He said that because of the IDOC's situation with state hiring and hiring programs it took the IDOC a long time to restart that program and that's why the spending was considerably down during the first year that the program was restarted. He said that all of that should be taken into consideration in the evaluation.

Chairman Murphy said that he didn't know what hiring practices Mr. Krause referred to.

Mr. Krause said that it generally takes a long time for the state to hire either full-time or contractual employees. He said, for example, that it took eight to nine months to rehire the contractual staff.

Ms. Engel said that the Budget Committee takes these funding issues very seriously, particularly in times such as these in Illinois when everybody is hurting and it's frightening and the utmost care needs to be taken. She said that members of this board hate to have to return lapsed funds to the federal government. Given that, it must be a priority to fund good programs that can actually spend the funds designated to them.

Mr. Krause, in response to a question from Mr. Carter regarding what types of expenditures the IDOC projected for these programs throughout the remainder of the year, said that money would be used to support the now fully staffed programs. He said that the women's program has been fully staffed since March of 2009.

Ms. Engel said that she thought the current discussion was centered on IDJJ.

Mr. Krause said that he wanted to move ahead regarding the funding.

Mr. Carter said that he wanted to finish discussing IDJJ before moving on.

Chairman Murphy said that the panel should get through Tab 1 and then discuss specific items so time isn't wasted discussing everything piecemeal. He said that he would invite discussion once all of the material in Tab 1 has been presented.

Ms. Hora asked if it was known how much of the \$510,000 would lapse. Mr. Carter said that based on IDJJ's spending history he projected that approximately \$200,000 would lapse.

Ms. Hora said that she still had concerns because a 10 percent, or roughly \$50,000, reduction would still mean a potential lapse of \$150,000. She said that while that would be better than a \$200,000 lapse, it is still a lot of money. She said that she was uncomfortable reducing the designation by only 10 percent.

Ms. Engel said that the \$510,000 has been designated, but there is no extension for the expenditure of these funds beyond September 30, 2009. That means that between June and September there are three months for this program to spend the remaining \$459,000. She said that it would be important to have a conversation with Mr. Krause so that the committee can get a sense of the situation. Everybody knows that things can change in agencies and that CMS can be very complicated in terms of hiring people even though people and money are available. She said that the board members believe in the programs, but they need a sense of what can realistically be expended. This committee wants to ensure that these programs (juvenile justice and women in transition) are adequately funded, but preventing lapses is also a priority.

Chairman Murphy said that, to reinforce Ms. Engel's point, in this day and age the Authority simply cannot afford to lapse large sums of money because if that happens, the Authority will never see those funds again.

Mr. Carter said that the IDOC's Females in Transition Program attempts to reduce recidivism in female offenders by providing pre-release and post release services. For the past two funding years this program has been designated \$380,162 for each year. Last year only \$679 was spent from this designation with only a few months remaining in this program's current designation. So far, IDOC has reported only \$35,571 as being spent this year. He said that based on these facts, staff recommends reducing this program's future designation by 50 percent for a total amount of \$190,081 using FFY07 funds.

Mr. Carter said that the State of Illinois's FFY07 JAG award totaled \$12.4 million and from that total \$812,159 was required to be set aside. He said that staff recommends using these funds for a local law enforcement officer safety equipment RFP. He said that staff would report back to the Budget Committee at a future meeting which entities received what awards.

Mr. Carter said that staff recommended designating a total of \$5.2 million in JAG FFY07 funds to allow the programs listed in the chart on Page 5 of the memo to continue for an additional 12 months. He said that Page 6 of the memo featured the introduction of the \$4.3 million FFY08 JAG award and the chart at the bottom of Page 6 described recommended FFY08 designations.

Mr. Carter said that the chart on Page 3 of the memo described recommended FFY07 designations that would allow the programs listed to continue for another 12 months.

Ms. Engel, referring to the table on Page 3, asked if the \$25,000 designation to Kane County for its sex offender program was part of a larger project because it seemed like too small a sum to provide for a program by itself.

Authority Federal and State Grants Unit staff member Jill Davis said that the \$25,000 would only be used to purchase testing materials and to enable assessment and computer searches.

Mr. Carter added that this designation was not the program's only funding source.

Mr. Carter said that regarding JAG American Recovery and Reinvestment Act funds, \$7.7 million in state-use funds and \$30 million in local-use funds remain available for future use.

Ms. Engel said that there is an outstanding discussion waiting to be had to better understand what IDJJ and IDOC would even want with this money and what they really think they can spend knowing that the funds would be under scrutiny and there should be discussion about making sure that the funds are spent for what they're supposed to be spent for and that they are spent by the end of the ARRA performance period.

Mr. Krause said that he would like to reserve the possibility to move some funds from the juvenile male program's designation to the adult women's program's designation. He said that he would need to discuss this matter with (IDJJ) Director Kurt Friedenauer prior to reallocating any funds. He said that there is a budget line item for transitional housing for juvenile males that IDJJ has difficulty using basically because there isn't any transitional housing available for juvenile males. \$110,000 of IDJJ's funding is in that line item. He said that he would like to consider moving a considerable portion of that

funding to the women's program to fully fund that because it is fully staffed now and that program is moving forward.

Ms. Healy Ryan noted that these programs were currently funded with FFY07 money and that money is available until 2010; approximately a year and three months from the date of this meeting. She said that Mr. Carter's point was that these programs would still lapse money at the ends of their periods of performance.

Ms. Engel noted that staff could request an extra year to expend the funds, but staff must petition at the federal level to do so.

Acting Director Cutrone said that the September 30, 2009 date is the end of the existing grant agreement between the Authority and the departments, not the end date of the Authority's FFY07 designation.

Mr. Carter said that the funds staff is talking about recommending now would begin on October 1, 2009 and end on September 30, 2010, which is the same end date for the FFY07 funds. He said that if any money is lapsed at that time without an extension then that money would be returned to the federal government.

Acting Director Cutrone said that the text of the meeting materials should have been clearer regarding the end dates.

In response to a question by Acting Director Cutrone, Mr. Krause said that he did not have quarterly expenditure information for these programs immediately available.

Ms. Engel asked Mr. Krause if there was any way he could produce the figures in question while this meeting was in progress. She said that this committee was sympathetic to making sure that these programs receive the necessary funding.

Mr. Krause, referring to earlier conversation, said that typically for other grant programs IDOC would generate a cost extension on a grant program if there was still funding time available for it. He said that since the current agreement ends on September 30, that cannot be done.

Chairman Murphy suggested to Mr. Krause that he call his office to try to get the figures.

Mr. Krause said that he would have to go back to his office and get into his computer and he wasn't sure if anyone would be available in his accounting department who could help him.

Chairman Murphy suggested that Mr. Krause try to retrieve the figures.

Mr. Krause said he would try, but it might take some time to find the figures.

Chairman Murphy said that the committee could proceed with other discussions and come back to this topic while Mr. Krause attempted to find the figures. He said a vote on these items would be tabled until the committee heard back from Mr. Krause.

Mr. Krause said that he wasn't concerned about the funding reduction if the option to move the funds was available. He said that the total funding level wouldn't change for IDOC / IDJJ if the funds could be moved between programs.

Ms. Engel said that a funding reduction was at issue here.

Chairman Murphy said that in terms of the reduction, Mr. Krause's concern is how the deck is shuffled later.

Ms. Engel said that she understood what Mr. Krause wanted to do and that she was happy to see females get more attention from IDOC, but she said that she did not understand what Mr. Krause meant in terms of the \$510,000 reduction to \$495,000.

Mr. Krause said that he wanted to take some of the \$495,000 and move it to the other program.

Ms. Engel said that there's a larger policy issue which is obviously one of the problems here; that IDJJ can't spend money on juvenile justice re-entry except that juvenile justice re-entry is really critical to making sure that kids get out of prison where they shouldn't be and into communities.

Mr. Krause said that IDJJ would love to spend more on juvenile transitional housing, but there aren't any vendors available that IDJJ is aware of, other than Aunt Martha's.

Ms. Engel said that this issue relates to the Authority's role as a policy group. She said that perhaps at some point the Authority board needs to think about convening a larger discussion with important stake holders to reinforce the idea that if it really is believed that juveniles can learn and change and can become productive members of society then a process for getting them from a strict closed environment and out into our communities needs to be developed. She said that maybe it is time to examine how transitioning of juveniles is handled in other states.

Chairman Murphy said that perhaps this issue can be addressed at a later meeting of the whole.

Ms. Engel said that this is a critical issue because without proper transitioning, many offenders re-offend and end up back in prison.

Mr. Krause said that the reason why transitional housing for juveniles was always in the budget was so that IDJJ would be able to fund such a program if housing became available.

Ms. Healy Ryan suggested designating the funds as recommended in the memo. Chairman Murphy said that such would be his suggestion too.

Ms. Healy Ryan suggested that staff vet this issue about sending some of the money, especially if it's not going to be sent spent from the juvenile re-entry, to the females and have it presented to the Budget Committee. She said that it's not like this money has to be designated now. She said that she would like to see more of a plan of how that would look and how it actually would be spent in the women's program before committing to anything.

Chairman Murphy, with respect to the recommendations found in Tab 1, called for a motion. He added that the issue of money that has already been designated needing to be reallocated is something staff needs to address because this a time when accountability is key. He said that if things get moved around, which he said he didn't have a problem with, in theory, the funds need to be moved around to a place that makes the most sense and makes the best use of these funds.

Ms. Hora said that if IDJJ was not going to spend all of the \$459,000 for juvenile re-entry, then that amount of money should not be set aside of that purpose.

Mr. Small suggested that this meeting move forward with all of the other recommendations and that staff should take some time to talk with Director Friedenauer to figure out exactly what IDJJ wants to spend this money on to ensure that the \$459,000 gets spent. He said that staff could present a clear plan for these dollars at the next Budget Committee meeting.

Chairman Murphy said that he had no objections to voting on the recommendations as presented knowing that staff would present recommendations at the next meeting describing how the IDJJ's money would be reallocated.

Mr. Krause said that he apologized for disrupting the meeting and he certainly didn't want any motion put forward on moving funds or anything. He said that he just wanted to plant the seed that IDJJ might want to make some changes.

Chairman Murphy said that this group is quite a group of outstanding talent, but if you come asking and you're given and you don't use, the next time you come asking it's going to be hard to give again. He said that as long as everybody understands that, the committee will take you at your word that you're going to do this and we'll take the Director at his word that he's going to do this.

Acting Director Cutrone, addressing a point made by Ms. Hora, said that the recommendation is for a small reduction to an existing designation. He said that under further examination, it appears that there are continuing problems in spending

Ms. Hora said that her concern, relating to Mr. Krause's comments, was that IDJJ would not be spending the full \$459,000 on juvenile justice re-entry. She said that she would have a hard time voting for a designation that she knows IDJJ isn't going to spend. She said that given the projections, the Budget Committee should be considering further reductions.

Chairman Murphy called for a motion accepting the recommendations as outlined in the materials under Tab 1.

Ms. Engel expressed some reservations about accepting these recommendations. She moved that the Budget Committee defer decisions on both the reductions. She said that it would be nice to know what the vote is actually for and what it would actually fund. She said that she shared Ms. Hora's concerns.

Acting Director Cutrone suggested making two motions; one motion to approve all the other recommendations then a separate motion to approve the recommendations with respect to those two items.

Authority Chairman Sorosky asked that the items not to be voted on in the general vote be identified.

Ms. Romas moved to approve the FFY05 through FFY08 recommendations, excluding the recommendations on juvenile justice re-entry and the women's transitional services. Ms. Hora seconded the motion and it was approved by unanimous voice vote.

Ms. Engel said that she had a caveat regarding the motion; that there should be a time frame in which to hear from IDJJ and IDOC about their clear plans and a plan for what they would provide and what they would spend. She said that Mr. Carter is doing admirably trying to juggle this money.

Chairman Murphy said that there would likely be at least one more meeting this summer. Acting Chairman Cutrone said that the next meeting might be in July since there are issues relating to ARRA that need to be addressed.

Chairman Murphy said that any recommendation should be put to writing and submitted to the board no later than July 15, 2009. He said to Mr. Krause that it would be incumbent upon himself and IDJJ's director to meet with staff and start pounding that out a little bit and then have somebody put pencil to paper and get it to the board probably within a week or so in advance of the next Budget Committee meeting.

Ms. Hora moved to table the recommendations on juvenile justice re-entry and the women's transitional services until the next Budget Committee meeting. Ms. Engel seconded the motion and it passed by unanimous voice vote.

Ms. Hora requested that for the next meeting, staff provide more detailed information such as a budget projection and quarterly spending detail.

Chairman Murphy said that the committee's expectation would be for a detailed outline of what's going to be changed, what changes are proposed, where money is going to go and how it's going to go and how it's going to be used.

Violence Against Women Act (VAWA)

Designation Reductions

Program Supervisor Ron Reichgelt, referring to the memo at Tab 2 of the meeting materials regarding the FFY03 and FFY05 through FFY08 VAWA Plan Adjustments, said that the first table in the memo listed FFY03 fund expenditures that were rescinded or lapsed by grantees. He said that this information was provided for informational purposes only because the FFY03 funds expired in September of 2008. He noted that as of the closing of the FFY03 award, the Authority had lapsed a total of \$65,496 out of \$4,906,000, or approximately one percent of the total federal award.

Mr. Reichgelt said that the second table in the memo is a list of FFY05 and FFY06 rescinded funds. He said that staff requested that these funds be added to other undesignated funds and be made available for future use.

Recommended Designations

Chicago Response Program:

Mr. Reichgelt said that the Chicago Police Department's (CPD) Chicago Response Program for victims of domestic violence provides training and information to CPD so that domestic violence protocols will be properly implemented. He said that staff recommended designating \$127,485 in FFY05 funds to this program.

Mr. Reichgelt, in response to a question by Ms. Engel, said that at this time VAWA FFY05 funds are set to expire on May 31, 2010, but the Authority is allowed extension requests with VAWA. He said that he thought that FFY05 was in its third extension he would expect that he could get at least another 12 months if needed. He said that he didn't think it would be necessary.

Mr. Reichgelt, in response to a question by Chairman Murphy, said that it is better to use the funds than it is to ask for an extension. He said that he was leery of calling in too many favors too often and that doing so might jeopardize the possibility of such favors in the future.

Mr. Reichgelt said that the Authority has a bit of an issue with the CPD program. He said that Chicago Response started out as a protocol under the old money with the Cook County State's Attorney's Office (CCSAO) and the Mayor's Office on Domestic Violence (MODV) and CPD put together the development implementation of a domestic violence protocol which the Authority funded. He said that at a certain point the Chicago Response Protocol partners went their separate ways. He said that this program was to do training for 15,000 officers on the protocol. He said that the training was similar to an infomercial and that CPD was just doing little training presentations to community groups. He said that the person that CPD has in charge of the training is a victim service coordinator and he said that he had an issue with her ability as a trainer in that she seemed to me more a presenter.

Mr. Reichgelt said that the trainer had been in the position for a long time. He said that staff had a discussion with the director of the program and staff is on the path to moving the program back in the right direction by ensuring that this training is for officers and for victim service personnel out in the field. He said that if they're going out to community groups they're doing their presentation or their training more towards a specific audience as opposed to just going to Navy Pier and doing a presentation in front of a group of 2,000 people who are there for elder information purposes or some such thing.

Ms. Engel said that it usually has to really be a state's attorney or a cop to train cops.

Mr. Reichgelt said that training is a major issue that needs attention, as cited at our Victim Service Ad Hoc Committee (VSAHC) meeting earlier this year. He said that to have a \$127,000 designation going for presentation seemed excessive because he personally thought that the presentation could have been video taped and shown if they wanted to do that rather than spending all of this money. Staff also had an issue with the person who was doing the data entry and research and after questioning the program and asking the other partners, the CCSAO, and the MODV on the use of that information it put his mind at ease as to what that grant-funded position was doing. He said that there had been somewhat of a disconnect between what the training position was doing and what the research person was doing. He said that CPD is again working to bring those things back together so he feels better now. He said that staff would still monitor the situation closely. Mr. Reichgelt said that when the new designation proposal comes in, which isn't due for another two months, staff will scrutinize that proposal to make sure that the goals and objectives that are put in there are pertinent to that type of a program. He said that staff would go out and do a site visit during their training to make sure that these are explicit trainings for officers and victim service personnel. He said that he thought it is overall a good program it's a much needed program and it's just a matter of getting it back on track. He said that the communication between the program and Authority staff is very good.

Ms. Hora said that she was concerned about the possibility of running into problems midstream and then having to re-designate these funds with a shorter time frame in which to spend them. She said that it might make more sense to give the domestic violence help line FFY05 funds.

Mr. Reichgelt said that the problem isn't spending it, because those people are there and in their places; it's a matter of making sure the trainer has the proper training. He said that the Authority is going to mandate that the trainer go through the 40-hour domestic violence training so that she has a better understanding of what she's talking about. He said that staff would make sure that she's doing this specifically. He added that she's a very busy person and that her job is filled so the dollar amount wouldn't change. He said that he didn't have an issue with the program's ability to spend the money. He said that some of the problems he had with the program could be solved by giving the trainer the proper direction. He also said that there has been such a turnover in the trainer's supervisor position that it had a negative effect on the program and the Authority has had some turnover on its end too over the years so this program kind of fell through the cracks.

Ms. Engel suggested that sometimes just because a person is in a position doesn't mean that they're the right person for the position. She said that she wasn't not speaking against this person at all, but just saying there are times when what is needed, particularly in trainers of people who are in the field and believe that they don't often need training

when obviously they might, is a particularly powerful personality with a great deal of history and background and credibility and respect.

Mr. Reichgelt said that he has actually had this conversation with Ms. Healy Ryan and several other people. He said that when funding advocates or trainers it is important to look at the expertise of that trainer because sometimes someone's put into a position that doesn't fit the necessary qualifications.

Ms. Engel said that was why she thought that her suggestion might be good; because maybe this isn't the right person. She said that maybe she's right to talk to 2,000 people in the general public, but maybe she's not right to try to talk to veteran officers.

Mr. Reichgelt said that his understanding from discussions with the trainer and with her supervisor was that she's very qualified and she is a very energetic person. He said that the matter is to make sure that she has the qualifications to be able to perform these trainings and we have CPD assurances that she will be able to get those qualifications and be able to do this training with the Authority's help.

Other Recommended Designations:

Mr. Reichgelt said that the help line is a single point of access for victim assistance and information in the greater metropolitan area. He said that the help line provides victims, police officers, healthcare professionals, social service providers, and concerned family and friends with immediate information and direct link services, thus eliminating the need to know the phone numbers to numerous local domestic violence programs. He said that staff recommends a designation of \$364,000 in FFY 2006 fund to allow this program to continue for another 12 months.

Ron Reichgelt said that the VSAHC, at its 2001 meeting, established priorities to fund domestic violence and sexual assault multi-disciplinary teams (MDTs) for three years. He said that the MDTs became part of the VAWA implementation plan at that time. At the September 18, 2006 VSAHC meeting the VSAHC recommended that the MDTs receive a fourth year of funding. At the VSAHC's October 9, 2007 meeting, it made the recommendations that the Authority continue funding for all the programs previously funded via VAWA at the reduced levels pursuant to reduction in the amount of FFY07 VAWA federal award to the Authority.

Mr. Reichgelt said that the MDTs are currently in their fifth year of funding. He said that staff feels that the current MDT programs have been very effective and, therefore, staff recommended that each MDT program receive funding for a sixth year. The individual designations are listed in the table on Page 3 of the memo. He said that these programs have all been recommended and approved both by the VSAHC and the Budget

Committee at previous dates. This would be the MDTs' sixth and final year of funding under this implementation plan.

Ms. Hora asked if it would be possible to fund some programs partly from FFY05 funds and some partly from FFY06 funds so that the FFY05 is more likely to be expended entirely.

Mr. Reichgelt said that to do as Ms. Hora suggested, separate agreements would need to be generated for funds from separate federal fiscal years, so it would basically double the workload for Authority and grantee staff; they'd have to keep everything separate they'd have to report separately. He said that staff is very cognizant of calling grantees up if it looks like they're not spending their money and staff would take money back if necessary.

Ms. Engel noted that there are service programs that can spend money quickly if staff is ever just trying to spend lapsing money quickly.

Mr. Reichgelt said that the ten Transitional Housing service programs listed on Page 4 of the memo are funded using VAWA discretionary funds and they cover the cost of renting units for victims of domestic violence. He said that staff recommends a total of \$243,447 in FFY06, FFY07, and FFY08 discretionary funds. He noted that these are programs with dual grants; the Authority funds the rent using VAWA funds and the Authority funds the victim services using Victims of Crime Act (VOCA) funds.

Mr. Reichgelt said that staff recommends a total of \$665,879 for the funding of the CCSAO's Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault Prosecution program to allow this program to operate for another year. He said that this program was part of an overall protocol in Cook County and the CCSAO is now funding a felony review specialist, specialized assistant district attorneys, victim service advocates, administrative assistants, and four follow-up investigators who the Authority received approval recently to fund using law enforcement money to help expedite the spending of those funds.

Mr. Reichgelt said that the IDOC's Services to Female Inmates program provides a program coordinator to oversee the organization, consistency, and ongoing performance of services to female inmates who were victims of domestic violence or sexual assault prior to their incarceration. He called attention to the chart at the bottom of Page 5 of the memo describing remaining available funds.

Mr. Reichgelt, in response to a question by Ms. Hora, said that the funds that are available right now in FFY05 are so small and they're so specific that there are no plans to spend them. He said that staff can't use prosecution funds to give to law enforcement or use court to give to law enforcement. He said that staff would put an item on an

agenda if staff could find something to spend it on, but sometimes the amount just gets so small there is nothing that can be done with it.

Ms. Hora said that she was concerned about FFY05 because it expires in May of 2010 and she was thinking that even though they're small amounts it may be possible to figure out something to do with them.

Mr. Reichgelt said that it's a matter of reaching out to agencies that are appropriate to see if there is something that can be done with the money. He said that he would rather wait to see what funds are returned, thus allowing for higher total amounts to work with, and address these funds later at a Budget Committee meeting sometime next year.

Mr. Reichgelt, in response to a question by Ms. Engel, said that at this time FFY06 VAWA funds expire on the same date as do the FFY05 funds and FFY06 is on its second extension. He said that he was sure that the Authority would get another extension if necessary. He said that the Authority might not want to consider funding whole new programs at this time since the Authority will start new priorities and a new plan in the near future. He said that the Authority is now beginning to process VAWA ARRA funds. He said that when the VAWA ARRA RFP is done, staff might look for additional funding from other areas if the RFP funds run dry. He also said that the Authority has enough time with most of these funds to carry programs into the new VAWA implementation plan. He said that if it turns out that there is a little extra money, perhaps the Authority could fund a new program.

Ms. Healy Ryan moved to approve the FFY03 and FFY05 through FFY08 recommendations. Ms. Engel seconded the motion and it was approved by unanimous voice vote.

Victims of Crime Act (VOCA)

Program Supervisor Ron Reichgelt, referring to the memo at Tab 3 of the meeting materials regarding the FFY05 through FFY08 VAWA Plan Adjustments and the FFY09 Plan Introduction, said that the table on Page 1 of the memo details the FFY05 funds returned to the Authority. He said that these figures were provided for informational purposes only because FFY05 funds expired in September of 2008. He noted that the Authority lapsed a total of \$700,429 out of a \$15,325,000 federal award for a lapse of less than five percent of the total award. He said that the table on Pages 2 and 3 of the memo detail FFY06, FFY07, and FFY08 funds recently returned to the Authority. He said that staff recommends that these funds be made available for future use.

Mr. Reichgelt said, regarding the FFY09 VOCA Introduction, that the Authority is not in receipt of the actual award at this time, although the Authority has been notified what the award amount will be. He said that the federal government is behind the eight ball in trying to handle all of the ARRA material, but they did send the Authority an award schedule indicating the FFY09 award amount. He said that some continuation grants would be for agreements beginning tomorrow (July 1, 2009), so staff would like to move forward with designation recommendations so staff can begin contract negotiations.

Chairman Murphy said that should any issues arise relating to the delay of the FFY09 award receipt, the Authority would notify the board members and the Budget Committee would reconvene if necessary.

Mr. Reichgelt said that on March 11, 2009 the Victim Services Ad Hoc Committee (VSAHC) met to discuss funding issues related to the American Recovery Reinvestment Act (ARRA) of 2009 as it pertains to the Violence Against Women Act (VAWA) and VOCA. He said that these recommendations were approved by the Budget Committee on May 24, 2009.

Mr. Reichgelt said that pursuant to Budget Committee approval of the VSAHC recommendations, FFY09 VOCA funds, in addition to the VOCA ARRA award, would be used to partially reinstate the cuts made under the VOCA FFY08 award. To do this, funding cuts were partially reinstated by dividing the Authority's VOCA ARRA award of \$1,353,000 between the two largest VOCA designations; the Illinois Coalition Against Domestic Violence (ICADV) and the Illinois Coalition Against Sexual Assault (ICASA) for their existing programs. The Authority's VOCA FFY 2009 award of \$14,583,006 is approximately 17.5 percent larger than its FFY08 award of \$12,411,000.

Mr. Reichgelt said that staff recommended making increased continuation designations once the FFY09 award is received to all VOCA grantees in accordance with the percentage increase of the FFY09 award relative to the FFY08 award in accordance with staff discretion per reviews of individual program performances. Since the coalitions have already received their increases in the forms of ARRA funds, no increase in their designations made from the FFY09 funds are recommended, thus allowing the balance of those funds to be distributed proportionately among the other VOCA programs to make up the difference between the 17.5 percent federal award increase and the planned 20 percent program award increases.

Mr. Reichgelt noted an error in the text of the memo: The third paragraph on Page 4 of the memo erroneously identified the FFY09 award as an ARRA award and should read: *The FFY09 VOCA award to Illinois is \$14,583,006.* He said that after deducting the five percent set aside for administrative costs there are \$13,853,856 available for grants. He said that staff designation recommendations were made in the following paragraphs and,

unless otherwise noted with an asterisk, these recommendations reflect an approximately 20 percent increase in funding. He said that those designations with the asterisks were not given a full 20 percent. He said that this was due to funding problems in the past where these grantees weren't spending their money. He said that staff had conversations with all agencies not receiving the 20 percent increase prior to making these recommendations and the funding recommendations were done with their approval.

Mr. Reichgelt said that the Chicago Metropolitan Battered Women's Network provides five 40-hour domestic violence training sessions to between 25 and 35 service providers each quarter and provides 15 advanced and specialized training sessions to between 150 and 200 service providers each quarter. He said that staff recommended a designation of \$18,923 in FFY09 funds for this program.

Mr. Reichgelt said that Child Advocacy Center (CAC) programs provide direct services to child victims of sexual assault and serious physical abuse and help reduce victims' trauma by providing these services in one location. He said that these programs need designations to continue for another 12 months. He said that the table on Page 5 of the memo summarizes staff recommendations for designations to the CAC's. He said that all CAC continuation designations and would reflect the 20 percent increase.

Mr. Reichgelt, in response to a question by Ms. Hora, said that staff has been in contact with individual CACs and the president of the CAC association to determine what can be done if any particular CACs close. He said that in such a case the Budget Committee would have to rescind any unused funds from those programs. He said that doing so would not affect any other program's 20 percent increase, but it would translate into more funds being kept in reserve. He said that staff would have to determine what would happen to all of the programs once the state's budget is settled and staff understands exactly how any potential cuts or other ramifications of the new state budget will impact any particular program.

Chairman Murphy reiterated that the Budget Committee would reconvene to address any issues generated by shortfalls in the new state budget, pending such a request from staff.

Mr. Reichgelt said that staff has actually been open with the CACs and he wanted to discuss these issues and now might be a good time. He said that because the Authority used the ARRA money for the coalitions and the ARRA money is meant for personnel use to create and retain jobs, the Authority is asking all of its VOCA grantees that are receiving this extra \$20,000 to use it kind of like the ARRA money and report in the same way as to the creation or maintenance of jobs. He said that given that these programs were recently cut by 27.8 percent and the Authority had given them a four percent increase the previous year, staff asked the grantees to move forward by using the 20 percent to retain or create jobs.

Mr. Reichgelt said that with the understanding of what's going to be happening with the state budget and the Department of Human Services' (DHS) grants to these types of programs, staff sought approval from OVC to use some of this money for rent. He said that staff had never done that in the past because the Authority usually allows rent as a soft match since it's already something in the grantees' budgets. However, he said that the Authority hasn't let grantees use federal funds to pay for rent, but as discussions with grantees have indicated, a situation could develop where a grantee has employees, but no place to put them so the Authority's staff is seeking approval from OVC to use federal funds to pay for rent if it's been cut by another grant program. He said that this really wouldn't have anything to do with the programs so much, but at least grant-funded employees would have an office to work out of.

Mr. Reichgelt said that the programs listed in the table at the top of Page 6 provide emergency legal services to programs, community legal education, and outreach. He said that staff recommended that these programs receive their designations out of FFY09 funds to allow those programs to continue for another 12 months. He said that these all reflect the 20 percent increase.

Ms. Hora said that she would like to see, to the extent possible, that these programs focus on the direct representation of legal services. Education and outreach is great but not at the expense of the core programs.

Mr. Reichgelt said that such non-essential aspects are limited to about 10 percent of the programs.

Chairman Murphy said that he had no doubt that other committee members have already read this memo and he didn't want to strain everybody because he knows all of the time and effort that everybody put into this. He opened up the floor to any questions or comments or concerns with the respect to the information found in Tab 3.

Mr. Reichgelt said that under the lapsing FFY06 money, given the relatively short time that the Authority has to spend it, in cases like this staff usually tries to designate it to programs that have always been able to use it quickly such as the MODV's hotline's summer advertising campaign, to the ICADV for hygiene kits or to ICASA for sweat suits. He said that this year staff tried to expand the funds a little bit more so everybody got a little less, but staff also expanded this funding to include the Center on Halsted for its violence prevention hotline. He said that staff also went to the CAC Association and tried to include the CACs in the use of this money and the CACs now plan on doing some advertisement and some brochure printing.

Ms. Engel said that the only problem with this is if the state funding doesn't come through the advertising would be for services that wouldn't continue to exist. She said that right now some programs are already stopping intake. She said that Lifespan, which is one of the central domestic violence legal programs, has already ended intake.

Mr. Reichgelt said that staff monitors the use of the funds and if the funds are not going to be used staff tries to take them back. He said that, unfortunately with this, because it's lapsing money, there's nothing staff could do with it. He said that the Authority is at this situation now with VOCA where OVC's award to the Authority is always being delayed and it also comes at the same time when the state is going through its budget negotiations. He said that the Authority has been through this before, but he felt that being able to start now in June with the July 1 start dates was preferable to the situation last year where staff tried to do this in September and that the loss of those three months was a huge detriment not only to the programs, but to the Authority's staff who then had to try to catch up. He said that staff would monitor these funds to make sure that they are being spent and if a program is closed or otherwise can't spend its designation, staff will inform the Budget Committee.

Mr. Reichgelt, in response to a question by Ms. Romas, said that the Authority would have to convene the VSAHC to do the VAWA implementation plan which is a set established three-year plan. He said that he would like to take that opportunity to do a broad victim service strategic plan which would include VOCA funds. He said that would mean putting everything out on the table. He said that he didn't know how such a meeting would go with everybody because everybody's in jeopardy and everything would be out there and there would have to be more discussions later on.

Mr. Reichgelt said that for now the Authority has ample VOCA funds, given the combination of the VOCA FFY09 award and the VOCA ARRA award. He said that the FFY10 award will not have the ARRA funds to accompany them so the Authority doesn't want to go back and cut all of the VOCA programs. He said that to this end, approximately \$1 million has been kept in reserve so that next year's designations would remain relatively consistent with this year's. He said that the \$1 million in reserve would be to compliment next year's federal award and the funds would go to existing programs.

Ms. Engel said that for the following year, all ARRA funds will have been spent and then the Authority would only have the regular federal fiscal year awards to rely on.

Mr. Reichgelt said that the FFY10 award could be slightly higher or lower than the FFY09 award, but if it is the same then with the \$1 million banked here staff would be able to keep up everybody's funding at the same level as they are being given right now.

Ms. Engel said that the Authority has a history of this sort of planning; keeping funds in reserve to prevent radical fluctuations in funds available for programming from one year to the next. Mr. Reichgelt added that this is the first time in a couple of years that the Authority has been able to do this.

Ms. Healy Ryan moved to approve the FFY05 through FFY09 recommendations. Ms. Romas seconded the motion and it was approved by unanimous voice vote.

Further Discussion

Acting Director Cutrone said that regarding FFY09 awards, the JAG award is \$12.2 million where as last year it was \$4.3 million; the VOCA award this year is \$14.6 million roughly whereas in 2008 it was \$12 million; and VAWA, although the award has not been made, staff has been told of the amount that the Authority will be getting which will be roughly \$5.1 million, whereas the 2008 award was roughly \$4 million. So, the Authority is looking for at least some increase for federal fiscal year 2009. He said that it is anyone's guess as to how long the Authority would be able to sustain programs in the amounts designated today, so the Authority needs to be a little bit careful in dealing with those funds, as Mr. Reichgelt just illustrated, to allow for funding in the future years.

Acting Director Cutrone said that, regarding ARRA funding, last week the Authority got approval from OVW for the RFPs to be released. He said that staff is going to be doing those as well as the JAG local RFPs, and thanks to the hard work of Mr. Carter, the Authority is going to be taking applications online for the first time ,which will be part of simplification of the process. He said that Mr. Carter is to be credited for the work that he did and he needs to be credited because this is something that has been talked about for a long time and he actually went and did it.

Acting Director Cutrone said that, regarding the JAG local awards, staff expects the RFPs, one for equipment and one for programs, to be posted promptly on July 8, 2009. He said that the RFPs went through a lot of revisions especially due to some continually changing guidance from the Department of Justice about measuring job creation and setting up the new online application process. He said that the VAWA RFPs should go out on or about July 15, 2009.

Acting Director Cutrone said that Illinois is one of 16 states that have been selected for special attention by the General Accounting Office (GAO) because, given the amount of ARRA money coming to Illinois, this is considered a high-risk state. He said that all of the Authority's meetings with GAO have been very positive and the GAO has had very good things to say about Illinois generally and about the Authority in particular.

Authority Chairman Sorosky said that this is the last meeting that Ms. Healy Ryan will attend.

Ms. Healy Ryan said that she was going to work for the United States Army to become a training coordinator for the Judge Advocate on Sexual Assault. She said that she will be a one-star general.

Acting Director Cutrone called for a motion for the record approving a commendation for Bridget Healy Ryan for her service to the Authority. Mr. Hickey made the motion. It was seconded by Ms. Engel and approved by unanimous voice vote.

Chairman Murphy said that another Budget Committee meeting would be planned for late July or early August.

Adjourn

Ms. Hora moved to adjourn. The motion was seconded by Ms. Healy Ryan and passed by unanimous voice vote. The meeting was adjourned at 2:37 p.m.



**ILLINOIS
CRIMINAL JUSTICE
INFORMATION AUTHORITY**

300 W. Adams Street • Suite 700 • Chicago, Illinois 60606 • (312) 793-8550

MEMORANDUM

TO: Budget Committee Members

FROM: John Chojnacki, Associate Director, Federal and State Grants Unit

DATE: July 30, 2009

RE: **FFY05 Juvenile Accountability Block Grants Program Adjustment #6**
FFY06 Juvenile Accountability Block Grants Program Adjustment #4
FFY07 Juvenile Accountability Block Grants Program Adjustment #3

This memo describes proposed adjustments to the FFY05, FFY06, and FFY07 Juvenile Accountability Block Grants (JABG) plans.

Designation Reductions

Illinois Violence Prevention Authority:

At the May 12, 2009 JCEC, Staff recommended designating a total of \$144,220 to the Illinois Violence Prevention Authority (IVPA) to support its Safety Net Works program. The amount of this designation was made from three federal fiscal year awards:

Federal Fiscal Year Award	Amount
FFY05	\$31,191
FFY06	\$43,305
FFY07	\$69,724
Total	\$144,220

On July 9, 2009 the IVPA notified the Authority that it no longer wished to receive these funds. Citing the fiscal and program data reporting requirements relating to the 2009 Justice Assistance Grants American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (JAG/ARRA) funds recently awarded to the Safety Net Works program as so overwhelming that compliance would detract from its ability to manage the funds.

Recommended Designations

FFY05: Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority:

Staff recommends designating a total of \$21,958 in FFY05 lapsed state/discretionary-use funds to the Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority's Research and Analysis Unit (R&A). R&A will use this funding for the purpose of providing three one-day seminars on Balanced and Restorative Justice (BARJ) and schools for school administrators, social workers, and teachers. These seminars will be held in conjunction with the release of the latest BARJ implementation guide, *BARJ and Schools*. The seminars will be held in three locations across the state; northern, central, and southern, during the fall of 2009. Individuals chosen to be seminar facilitators will be professionals who are experts on how to incorporate BARJ into schools in Illinois. These funds will cover facilitator expenses as well as venue rental, audio/visual needs, working lunch fees, materials, and additional accommodations.

FFY05: Department of Human Services – Youth Network Council, Court and Law Enforcement Personnel Training

Staff recommends designating \$30,000 in FFY05 funds to the Illinois Department of Human Services for the purposes of contracting with the Youth Network Council to provide court and law enforcement personnel training. Specifically, trainings on integrated approaches to juvenile justice and the Youth Assessment Screening Instrument (YASI) will be offered to court/probation personnel and youth services organizations.

FFY06: Macon County States Attorney's Office – Truancy Court Program

The Macon County States Attorney's Office, in collaboration with Decatur Public Schools District 61, developed and implemented a student truancy monitoring and truancy court program for the district's middle school. This program was initiated for the 2008/09 school year and works to identify students who have recorded 10 or more unexcused school absences in each of the past two school years. Once identified, students are required to attend "Creating Lasting Family Connections" classes or various other sanctions imposed by a peer jury trained in the principles of Balanced and Restorative Justice (BARJ). These peer jurors also take on mentoring roles for the truant students.

The Macon County States Attorney's Office has identified a need to expand this program into the MacArthur High School. The high school has identified approximately 150 chronic truant students in the 9th grade and this program will attempt to serve 15 to 20 percent of these students in the first year.

Staff recommends designating \$45,347 in FFY06 funds to provide the Macon County States Attorney's Office with start-up funds for the MacArthur High School truancy

program. These funds will cover costs associated with the truancy court's director's salary/benefits, case manager salary/benefits, telephone, travel, and service provider subcontracts.

Summary of Available FFY05 - FFY08 Funds

The table below describes currently available funds, assuming the adoption of the recommendations described in this memo by the Budget Committee:

Available Funds	FFY05	FFY06	FFY07	FFY08	Total
Local	\$0	\$0	\$274,621	\$281,402	\$556,023
State / Discretionary	\$1,191	\$0	\$108,221	\$308,920	\$418,332
Available Interest Earned as of 6/15/09	\$457	\$720	\$40,433	\$14,118	\$55,728
Total	\$1,648	\$720	\$423,275	\$604,440	\$1,030,083
Expiration Date	11/26/09	11/26/10	5/31/10	5/31/11	

Staff will be available at the meeting to answer any questions.

**JUVENILE ACCOUNTABILITY
BLOCK GRANTS PROGRAM
FFY05**

ATTACHMENT A (Revised 7/27/09)

Name of Community	County	Initial Amount	Amended Amou	Difference
<i>Direct Funded Local Programs:</i>				
Champaign County	Champaign	\$8,777		
Chicago	Cook	\$194,881		
Cook County	Cook	\$594,925		
DuPage County	Du Page	\$36,651		
Kane County	Kane	\$23,153		
Lake County	Lake	\$27,325		
Madison County	Madison	\$10,113		
Peoria County	Peoria	\$13,176		
St. Clair County	St.Clair	\$0		
Will County	Will	\$24,727		
Winnebago County	Winnebago	\$19,447		
<i>Juvenile Reporting Centers</i>				
Tazewell County	Tazewell	\$43,527		
First Judicial Circuit		\$81,000		
<i>Juvenile Crime Reduction</i>				
East St. Louis	St.Clair	\$23,889		
East St. Louis	St.Clair	\$31,989		
<i>Youth Giving Back</i>				
13th Judicial Circuit (La Salle Co.)	La Salle	\$22,850		
<i>ENUF</i>				
Oak Park	Cook	\$12,317		
Oak Park	Cook	\$20,342		
<i>Community Services Program</i>				
Evanston	Cook	\$26,000		
Evanston	Cook	\$10,548		
BARJ Training				
Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority		\$0	\$21,958	\$21,958
UNALLOCATED LOCAL		\$21,958	\$0	(\$21,958)
TOTAL LOCAL		\$1,247,595		

Name of Community	County	Initial Amount	Amended Amou	Difference
<i>JAIS Case Management System</i>				
Illinois Department of Juvenile Justice		\$175,427		
<i>Performance-Based Standards Enrollment</i>				
Illinois Department of Juvenile Justice		\$70,373		
<i>SafeMeasures</i>				
Illinois Department of Juvenile Justice		\$30,000		
<i>Planing and Systems Integration</i>				
Illinois Department of Juvenile Justice		\$6,693		
<i>Transitional Housing</i>				
Illinois Department of Juvenile Justice		\$22,320		
<i>Parole Re-Adjustment Program</i>				
Illinois Department of Juvenile Justice		\$85,993		
Illinois Department of Juvenile Justice		\$26,913		
<i>Safety Net Works</i>				
Illinois Violence Prevention Authority		\$31,191	\$0	(\$31,191)
<i>Court & Law Enforcement Training</i>				
Illinois Department of Human Services		\$0	\$30,000	\$30,000
<i>Available interest earned through 7/27/09*</i>		\$0	\$457	\$457
UNALLOCATED DISCRETIONARY		\$0	\$1,191	\$1,191
<i>TOTAL DISCRETIONARY</i>		<i>\$448,910</i>	<i>\$449,367</i>	<i>\$337</i>
ADMINISTRATION		\$83,150		
<i>GRAND TOTAL</i>		<i>\$1,779,655</i>	<i>\$1,780,112</i>	<i>\$337</i>

* *Total interest earned through 7/27/09: \$117,112.*

\$3,400 in interest earned was included in the IDJJ JAIS designation (#505301).

\$345 in interest earned was included in the Oak Park ENUF designation (#505416).

\$85,997 in interest earned was designated to IDJJ for Parole Re-Adjustment (#505086).

\$26,913 in interest earned was designated to IDJJ for Parole Re-Adjustment (#505186).

**JUVENILE ACCOUNTABILITY
BLOCK GRANTS PROGRAM
FFY06**

ATTACHMENT A - Revised 7/27/09

Name of Community	County	Initial Amount	Amended Amot	Difference
<i>Direct Funded Local Programs:</i>				
Champaign County	Champaign	\$10,145		
Chicago	Cook	\$174,664		
Cook County	Cook	\$532,913		
DuPage County	Du Page	\$32,892		
Kane County	Kane	\$20,675		
Lake County	Lake	\$24,516		
Peoria County	Peoria	\$11,874		
St. Clair County	St.Clair	\$8,905		
Will County	Will	\$22,109		
Winnebago County	Winnebago	\$17,383		
<i>Youth Giving Back</i>				
13th Judicial Circuit (La Salle Co.)	La Salle	\$22,377		
<i>Community Services Program</i>				
Evanston	Cook	\$21,096		
Evanston	Cook	\$10,548		
<i>Juvenile Prosecution</i>				
Madison County	Madison	\$6,064		
<i>Juvenile Reporting Centers</i>				
Tazewell County	Tazewell	\$0		
First Judicial Circuit	Williamson	\$0		
<i>Pre-Employment Program</i>				
TBD	TBD	\$144,750		
UNALLOCATED LOCAL		\$0		
TOTAL LOCAL		\$1,060,912		

Name of Community	County	Initial Amount	Amended Amou	Difference
<i>Anti-Violence Initiative</i>				
Illinois Violence Prevention Authority		\$250,000		
Illinois Violence Prevention Authority		\$43,305	\$0	(\$43,305)
<i>Parole Re-Adjustment Program</i>				
Illinois Department of Juvenile Justice		\$73,315		
Illinois Department of Juvenile Justice		\$46,402		
<i>Truancy Court Program</i>				
Macon County State's Attorney's Off	Macon	\$0	\$45,347	\$45,347
<i>Interest Available as of 7/27/09:</i>		<i>\$0</i>	<i>\$720</i>	<i>\$720</i>
UNALLOCATED DISCRETIONARY		\$0		
<i>TOTAL DISCRETIONARY</i>		<i>\$413,022</i>	<i>\$415,784</i>	<i>\$2,042</i>
ADMINISTRATION		\$74,450		
<i>GRAND TOTAL</i>		<i>\$1,548,384</i>	<i>\$1,551,146</i>	<i>\$2,042</i>

Total interest earned as of 7/27/09: \$62,146.

\$12,982 in interest earned to IVPA (#506200) on 6/5/09.

\$46,402 in interest earned to IDJJ (#506186) on 6/5/09.

**JUVENILE ACCOUNTABILITY
BLOCK GRANTS PROGRAM
FFY07**

ATTACHMENT A - *Revised 7/27/09*

Name of Community	County	Initial Amount	Amended Amo	Difference
<i>Direct Funded Local Programs:</i>				
Champaign County	Champaign	\$10,125		
Chicago	Cook	\$165,851		
Cook County	Cook	\$528,864		
DuPage County	Du Page	\$32,635		
Kane County	Kane	\$20,463		
Lake County	Lake	\$24,334		
Peoria County	Peoria	\$11,761		
Will County	Will	\$21,954		
Winnebago County	Winnebago	\$17,217		
UNALLOCATED LOCAL		\$274,621		
TOTAL LOCAL		\$1,107,825		
<i>Safety Net Works</i>				
Illinois Violence Prevention Authority		\$69,724	\$0	(\$69,724)
<i>Parole Re-adjustment Program</i>				
Illinois Department of Juvenile Justice		\$187,199		
<i>Interest Earned as of 7/27/09:</i>		<i>\$38,565</i>	<i>\$40,433</i>	<i>\$1,868</i>
UNALLOCATED DISCRETIONARY		\$38,497	\$108,221	\$69,724
TOTAL DISCRETIONARY		\$333,985	\$335,853	\$2,571
ADMINISTRATION		\$73,855		
GRAND TOTAL		\$1,515,665	\$1,517,533	\$2,571



**ILLINOIS
CRIMINAL JUSTICE
INFORMATION AUTHORITY**

300 W. Adams Street • Suite 700 • Chicago, Illinois 60606 • (312) 793-8550

MEMORANDUM

TO: Budget Committee Members

FROM: John C. Chojnacki, Associate Director, Federal & State Grants Unit

DATE: July 30, 2009

RE: **Justice Assistance Grants (JAG) FFY07 Plan Adjustment #4**

This memo describes proposed adjustments to the FFY07 JAG plan, as illustrated in the attached *Attachment A* dated August 3, 2009.

RECOMMENDED DESIGNATIONS

FFY07 Continuation Designation Reductions

The two designation recommendations below were first considered at the June 30, 2009 Budget Committee meeting. The Budget Committee did not vote on these items at that meeting pending further review of the proposed designation amounts.

Illinois Department of Juvenile Justice (IDJJ) – Juvenile Justice Re-entry

This program seeks to provide reentry services for juvenile male offenders in District 1; assist participants in reestablishing ties in the community on an individualized basis; expand the availability of transitional and continuing-care treatment options for eligible offenders with substance abuse issues; maintain the Halfway Back program for juveniles; and provide training opportunities to IDJJ program staff and vendor staff.

Currently, IDJJ has reported expenditures of \$70,631 out of this program's \$510,000 FFY07 designation. This program ends on September 30, 2009 and it is unlikely that the designated amount will be fully expended. In the last funding year, this program lapsed

\$314,249. Based upon these facts, staff recommends designating \$459,000 in FFY07 funds to this program. This designation represents a 10 percent reduction from the amount of the IDJJ's current designation for this program. This reduced designation would allow the program to continue for 12 months.

Illinois Department of Corrections (IDOC) – Females in Transition

This program's overall goal is to reduce recidivism by fully engaging released offenders into their communities. This is done by developing and instituting an intake procedure for the pre-release program; providing comprehensive pre-release services and planning to women who meet criteria of the program; providing comprehensive post-release services, transitional services, and placements to eligible women; and forming a transition committee to oversee program development.

Currently, IDOC has reported expenditures of \$55,379 out of this program's \$380,162 FFY07 designation. This program ends on September 30, 2009 and it is unlikely that this designated amount will be fully expended. In the last funding year, this program lapsed \$379,533. Based upon these facts, staff recommends designating \$190,081 in FFY07 funds to this program. This designation represents a 50 percent reduction from the amount of the IDOC's current designation for this program. This reduced designation would allow the program to continue for 12 months.

The chart below summarizes staff recommendations for 12 month continuations of the two programs described above at the recommended reduced amounts:

Agency	Program	FFY07
IDJJ	Youth Offender Re-entry Program	\$459,000
IDOC	Community-Based Transitional Services for Female Offenders	\$190,081
TOTAL		\$649,081

SUMMARY of AVAILABLE JAG FUNDS

FFY05, FFY06, FFY07, and FFY08

The table below describes funds that would be available for future programming assuming the adoption of the staff's recommendations described in the memo above:

Currently Available Funds	FFY05	FFY06	FFY07	FFY08	Total
Local	\$0	\$0	\$564,997	\$1,839,235	\$2,404,232
State	\$0	\$0	\$155,615	\$594,630	\$750,245
Local Formula Fund Allocation	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$460,766	\$460,766
Interest	\$0	\$19,734	\$536,857	\$68,233	\$624,824
Total	\$0	\$19,734	\$1,257,469	\$2,962,864	\$4,240,067
FFY Expiration Date	9/30/09	9/30/10	9/30/10	9/30/11	

American Recovery and Reinvestment Act Funds (ARRA)

At this time, \$30,089,559 in local-use funds, \$7,717,486 in state/discretionary-use funds, and \$10,185 in interest earned is available from the Authority's ARRA09 award. No adjustments to the ARRA09 Plan are requested at this time.

Staff will be available at the meeting to answer any questions.

**JUSTICE ASSISTANCE GRANTS
FFY07 PLAN
ATTACHMENT A - Revised 7/27/09**

JAG Purpose Area: LAW ENFORCEMENT

Program Title: Expanding Multi-Jurisdictional Narcotic Units	INITIAL AMOUNT	AMENDED AMOUNT	DIFFERENCE
<u>Project Title: Expanding Multi-Jurisdictional Narcotic Units</u>			
Blackhawk Area Task Force	\$69,579		
Central IL Enforcement Group	\$138,569		
DuPage County MEG	\$145,625		
East Central IL Task Force	\$107,122		
Joliet MANS	\$139,644		
Kankakee MEG	\$150,730		
Lake County MEG	\$271,209		
Southern IL Drug Task Force	\$201,393		
Multi-County MEG	\$71,179		
North Central Narcotic Task Force	\$139,670		
Quad-Cities MEG	\$31,895		
SLANT Task Force	\$119,996		
South Central Illinois Drug Task Force	\$85,064		
Southeastern Illinois Drug Task Force	\$134,002		
Metropolitan Enforcement Group of Southwestern Ill.	\$467,598		
Southern Illinois Enforcement Group	\$142,498		
Vermilion County MEG	\$143,581		
West Central IL Task Force	\$133,389		
Zone 6 Task Force	\$64,106		

JAG Purpose Area: PROSECUTION AND COURT PROGRAMS

Program Title: Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Prosecution Program

Project Title: Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Prosecution Program

DuPage County State's Attorney's Office	\$156,415
Kane County State's Attorney's Office	\$143,967
Lake County State's Attorney's Office	\$204,858
McHenry County State's Attorney's Office	\$83,394
Office of the State's Attorneys Appellate Prosecutor	\$440,486
St. Clair County State's Attorney's Office	\$108,003
Will County State's Attorney's Office	\$132,528

Project Title: Complex Drug Prosecutions

Cook County State's Attorney's Office	\$1,174,104
---------------------------------------	-------------

Program Title: Specialized Prosecution Initiatives

Project Title: DNA Unit

Cook County State's Attorney's Office	\$271,238
---------------------------------------	-----------

Project Title: Systemic Sentencing Issues Appeals Project

Office of the State's Attorney's Appellate Prosecutor	\$284,300
Office of the State's Attorney's Appellate Prosecutor	\$284,300

Program Title: Specialized Defense Initiatives

Project Title: Systemic Sentencing Issues Appeals Project

Office of the State Appellate Defender	\$189,529
--	-----------

Program Title: Probation Initiatives	INITIAL AMOUNT	AMENDED AMOUNT	DIFFERENCE
<u>Project Title: Innovative Probation Initiatives</u>			
Will County	\$112,000		
Tazewell County - 10th Judicial Circuit	\$74,000		
Lee County	\$41,000		
Kane County	\$25,000		

JAG Purpose Area: CORRECTIONS AND COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS PROGRAMS

Program Title: Correctional Initiatives

<u>Project Title: Community-Based Residential Treatment for Adults</u>			
Illinois Department of Corrections	\$414,462		
<u>Project Title: Young Offender Re-entry Program</u>			
Illinois Department of Juvenile Justice	\$510,000		
Illinois Department of Juvenile Justice	\$0	\$459,000	\$459,000
<u>Project Title: Community-based Transitional Services for Female Offenders</u>			
Illinois Department of Corrections	\$380,162		
Illinois Department of Corrections	\$0	\$190,081	\$190,081
Lake County	\$20,000		

JAG Purpose Area: PLANNING, EVALUATION, AND TECHNOLOGY IMPROVEMENT

Program Title: Information Systems

<u>Project Title: Information Network for Victim Service Providers</u>			
Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority	\$364,000		

Program Title: Local Law Enforcement Officer Safety

<u>Project Title: Local Law Enforcement Officer Safety</u>			
TBD	\$812,159		

JAG Purpose Area: CRIME VICTIM AND WITNESS PROGRAMS

Program Title: Law Enforcement and Prosecutor-Based Victim Assistance Programs

<u>Project Title: Law Enforcement and Prosecutor-Based Victim Assistance Services</u>			
# Cook County State's Attorney's Office - Underserved	\$208,000		
# Cook County State's Attorney's Office - Juvenile	\$148,031		
# Cook County State's Attorney's Office - Senior/Disabled Victims & Compensation	\$229,351		
# Cook County State's Attorney's Office - Generalists	\$342,447		
# Cook County State's Attorney's Office - Homicide	\$129,099		

UNALLOCATED FUNDS			
	INITIAL AMOUNT	AMENDED AMOUNT	DIFFERENCE
Unallocated - Discretionary			
Undesignated Local	\$564,997		
Undesignated State	\$804,696	\$155,615	(\$649,081)
<i>Undesignated Interest (as of 7/27/09)</i>	<i>\$529,865</i>	<i>\$536,857</i>	<i>\$6,992</i>

Unallocated - Formula Allocations

Undesignated Local Formula Funds	\$0
----------------------------------	-----

ADMINISTRATIVE FUNDS

Administration

Administration Funds	\$1,059,887
----------------------	-------------

TOTAL	\$12,999,127
--------------	---------------------